

**Received via e-mail
11 January 2019**

From: Ron Burnett

Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 11:37 AM

To: Empinado Edwin; Feldhoff Mario; germuth Phil; Lani Gibson; Goffinet Rob; Terry Marleau; Mark Zielinski

Cc: Warren Waycheshen

Subject: Kelowna Experience

Happy New Year Mayor and Council

I don't know if any of you caught the Global Okanagan bit on BC 1, over the last couple of days, regarding the disruption caused in Kelowna since a supportive housing complex was opened in Nov.

The concern is "unsolicited" and at times "belligerent" guests, and the location appears to be more commercial than residential.

The situation is not unlike some of the services proposed for the TSW complex, which leads me to believe the residents surrounding the proposed project have got sincere and serious concerns. I don't know why this has to be so complicated. The consensus around town seems to be that the services to be provided by the project are necessary, and it makes a lot of sense to consolidate them. With the exception of a few half hearted endorsements by members or employees of the TSW, the overwhelming consensus is that the location is absolutely wrong for many reasons.

A simple motion by Council instructing the Administration to find a more suitable site, as their # 1 priority, would likely resolve this issue which continues to cause unnecessary conflict within our community. City Centre would appear ideal, but if there is some secret use in mind for the available acreage, how about the underutilized, if not unused, soccer field at Riverlodge. It's virtually vacant, already fenced, adjacent to services and utilities. I don't imagine the people on Dease and Driftwood would mind at all.

Keep Smiling.

I'm watching and listening

Roma Burnett

PLEASE SEE NEXT PAGE FOR SECOND E-MAIL SENT ON 11 JANUARY 2019

**Received via e-mail
11 January 2019**

From: Ron Burnett
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 2:22 PM
To: Empinado Edwin; Feldhoff Mario; germuth Phil; Lani Gibson; Goffinet Rob; Terry Marleau; Mark Zielinski
Cc: Warren Waycheshen
Subject: re 461 Quatsino

Mayor and Council,

The more I read and hear peoples comments re the proposed facility at 461 Quatsino, the more confusing it appears.

I seriously believe people are very confused as to what is now the norm and what is proposed and I think it needs to be clarified so everyone is aware of the differences in buildings.

Please correct me if I am wrong.

1) North Star:

- was a motel
- was used by low income people.

2) Proposed new Dementia facility:

- to be built NEXT TO CIVIO CAMP
- for patients with dementia...NOT same as proposed transition house.

3) Present Transition House:

- for battered women and children at risk.

4) Proposed Transition House:

- to be a multi use facility
- at 461 Quatsino
- 4 stories high
- low cost housing for men and women
- food bank
- needle exchange
- some sort of a furniture exchange area
- area to deal with addiction problems both drug and alcohol

If this is correct, then council should clarify all the above at the beginning of the Public hearing so everyone is getting the same message.

Thank you,

Roma Burnett